

## Appendix A

### Detailed Table of Concordance to Meet New Brunswick *Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation* Requirements

| Ref No.  | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA             |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| <b>2.0 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH TO EIA</b>        |  |                               |
| <b>2.1 General</b>                               |  |                               |
| 1.   | The EIA process results in a detailed study of potential environmental effects and identification of procedures that may be used to mitigate these effects. The EIA study must also identify methods of optimizing positive environmental effects and minimizing negative environmental effects resulting from the proposed project. Additional options identified during the environmental assessment process may be considered as appropriate.   | Chapters 1-24                 |
| 2.   | To provide a focus for the EIA, environmental components of principal concern, commonly referred to as Valued Environmental Components (VECs), must be identified early in the assessment process. The method for determining VECs must be clearly stated by the proponent. The proponent shall seek public, stakeholder and Aboriginal Community knowledge, as appropriate, during the identification of appropriate VECs. The proposed VECs must be reviewed and accepted by the Review Committee in the early phases of the EIA. The EIA must clearly indicate the provisions for compliance with relevant regulatory requirements, guidelines and best management practices.   | Chapter 4                     |
| 3.   | Presented in Section 4.0 of these Guidelines are a number of specific issues related to the project for study. However, this framework does not limit the proposed EIA study. Should additional issues arise from discussion with members of the Review Committee, or consultation with regulatory agencies, members of the public, stakeholders or the Aboriginal Communities, the proponent must incorporate these issues into the assessment of the project's potential environmental effects.  | Chapter 4                     |
| <b>2.2 Study Boundaries and Scope of Factors</b> |  |                               |
| 4.   | The review must consider the potential environmental effects of the proposed project and all associated infrastructure within the spatial and temporal boundaries which encompass the periods and areas during and within which the project may potentially interact with, and have an environmental effect on, components of the environment. The review must also consider the potential environmental effects of shipping (including potential accidental events and potential cumulative environmental effects) on components of the environment. Irving Oil Company, Limited must clearly describe the boundaries of the study in time and space used in the evaluation of environmental effects for each of the Valued Environmental Components. | Chapters 5, and 7-23          |
| 5.   | The temporal boundaries of the study (the length of time over which project environmental effects are anticipated to occur) must reflect the construction period, the operating life of the project, and the geographical extent of any potentially significant environmental effects that may remain beyond the operating period, including decommissioning and any potential accidents or malfunctions.  | Section 5.2 and Chapters 7-23 |
| 6.   | Spatial boundaries should reflect the extent to which project activities are anticipated to occur in the existing environment and the extent of anticipated/environmental effects, including cumulative environmental effects on the Valued Environmental Components. Boundaries such as administrative, technical, biophysical, socio-economic and project area should be defined and related to the impact assessment process as appropriate or applicable. In determining appropriate spatial boundaries, consideration should be given to environmental effects from the proposal on a local, regional and national scale.   | Section 5.2 and Chapters 7-23 |

| Ref No.  | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>2.3 Prediction of Environmental Effects</b> |  |  |
| 7.   | The main focus of the EIA is to predict environmental effects (i.e.: both positive and negative) that may result from the proposed project and associated infrastructure, and their potential significance. Predictions must consider all aspects and phases (e.g.: construction, commissioning, operation, and decommissioning) of the proposed project, and any indirect environmental effects, cumulative environmental effects   | Section 5.5 and Chapters 7-23  |
|  | and any effects that may result from accidents or malfunctions. In addition, potential effects of the environment on the proposed project must be predicted, such as climate change effects or effects that may be caused by extreme weather events (e.g.: intense precipitation events), etc.   | Section 5.8 and Chapters 22, 23  |
| 8.   | EIA predictions are generally based on a combination of objective and subjective evaluation. The use of objective (measurable) analysis is strongly preferred where it is technically feasible and reasonable to do so. However, in recognition of any factor that may limit the ability to predict or measure environmental responses, predictions may be based on subjective evaluation using professional judgement and experience. Community knowledge and Aboriginal traditional knowledge should also be utilized, as applicable. In consideration of this, predictive statements must be accompanied by a discussion of the limitations of the analysis, references to supporting documentation and the qualifying credentials of those making the predictions.   | Chapters 3, 4, and 7-23  |
| 9.   | Predictions must be made regarding the nature (adverse or positive), magnitude, duration, frequency, geographic extent and reversibility of the proposed project's potential environmental effects. The significance of these effects must also be determined. These predictions must:   | Sections 7.4, 7.5, 8.4, 9.4, 9.5, 10.4, 11.4, 12.4, 13.4, 14.4, 15.4, 15.5, 16.4, 17.4, 18.4, 19.4, 20.4, and 21.4 |
| 10.  | • facilitate decision-making with respect to the proposed project;   |  |
| 11.  | • clearly specify any degree of uncertainty inherent in the projections;   |  |
| 12.  | • clearly identify positive and negative environmental effects (both biophysical and socio-economic) of the proposed project; and  |  |
| 13.  | • be amenable to testing and verification where possible through ongoing monitoring initiatives.   |  |
| 14.  | To clearly distinguish potentially significant environmental effects from those likely to be insignificant, the proponent must first define "significant." The definition must be based on scientific determinations, social values, public concerns, and economic judgements, and it shall be submitted to the Review Committee for review and approval along with the proposed VECs. In particular, the significance of proposed project-induced changes on VECs must be clearly stated in the EIA Report. The thresholds for significant effects on VECs (i.e., both positive and negative) must be related in terms of applicable criteria. Quantifiable reference to the magnitude, geographical extent, duration, frequency, reversibility and ecological context of the potential environmental effects is required. "Significant environmental effects" in the EIA document should emphasize sensitivity and early warning capabilities. Significance must be determined in the context of project-specific and cumulative environmental effects and after taking into account the implementation of appropriate mitigation/optimization measures. | Section 5.2.7 and Chapters 7-23  |
| 15.  | Significant effects on species (i.e., tolerance levels related to organisms in the environment), must take into account effects at the population-level. For species designated as endangered, effects on an individual constitute a population-level effect.  | Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13   |

| Ref No.                                     | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>2.4 Cumulative Environmental Effects</b> |  |   |
| 16.   | The term cumulative environmental effects refers to those effects, over a defined period of time and distance, resulting or likely to result from the proposed project and associated infrastructure, in combination with other past, present, or likely (imminent) future projects or activities. Any potential future projects, currently envisioned or contemplated by the proponent, being discussed for this area will need to be clearly identified and evaluated as part of the cumulative environmental effects assessment. This would include but not be limited to associated facilities typically related to the existing and proposed industrial facilities in the project area (e.g.: petrochemical facility, power generation facility, etc.) should these be contemplated by the proponent or other parties at the time of completing the EIA Report. An assessment of cumulative environmental effects must be conducted as part of the EIA study, in consideration of identified VECs, and future projects that may be developed. | Section 5.5.2 and Chapters 7-21   |
| 17.   | The goal of the cumulative environmental effects assessment will be to place project-related environmental effects, their significance, and approaches to their management in the context of the "bigger picture," and must include (but is not limited to):   | See below:  |
| 18.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>identification of regional issues of concern;</li> </ul>  | Sections 7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1, 11.1, 12.1, 13.1, 14.1, 15.1, 16.1, 17.1, 18.1, 19.1, 20.1, and 21.1.           |
| 19.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a comprehensive description of how VECs were selected;</li> <li>a clear justification for the spatial and temporal boundaries used to address cumulative environmental effects;</li> </ul>  | Section 4.4 , 7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1, 11.1, 12.1, 13.1, 14.1, 15.1, 16.1, 17.1, 18.1, 19.1, 20.1, 21.1, and 22.1 |
| 20.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a clear description of the analysis undertaken to assess the cumulative environmental effects on the selected VECs (i.e.: both positive and negative), and presentation of the results;</li> </ul>  | Sections 7.6, 8.5, 9.6, 10.5, 11.5, 12.5, 13.5, 14.5, 15.6, 16.5, 18.5, 18.5, 19.5, 20.5, and 21.5            |
| 21.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a clear description of how mitigation measures address the cumulative environmental effects; and</li> </ul>   |   |
| 22.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the rationale for determining whether residual cumulative environmental effects on VECs are significant.</li> </ul>   |   |
| 23.   | The current state of the environment (baseline) in the regional study area is to be included in the cumulative environmental effects assessment. This is to be accompanied by recognition of how the environmental effects on VECs resulting from other recently approved or ongoing projects in the study area (e.g.: loss of wetlands and wildlife habitats) will have been experienced by the time the current proposal is scheduled to commence construction.  | Sections 7.2, 8.2, 9.2, 10.2, 11.2, 12.2, 13.2, 14.2, 15.2, 16.2, 17.2, 18.2, 19.2, 20.2, 21.2, and 22.2.     |
| 24.   | The assessment and management of cumulative environmental effects should include recognition of the potential relationship to existing area-wide initiatives and the potential for co-operative efforts with the stakeholders involved.  | Sections 7.6, 8.5, 9.6, 10.5, 11.5, 12.5, 13.5, 14.5, 15.6, 16.5, 18.5, 18.5, 19.5, 20.5, and 21.5            |

| Ref No.   | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>2.5 Mitigation, Contingency and Compensation</b> |  |   |
| 25.   | The EIA Study must describe general and specific measures that are technically and economically feasible for the proponent to implement, to optimize any positive environmental effects and mitigate any negative effects resulting or potentially resulting from the proposed project and any associated infrastructure (i.e.: maximize positive effects, and eliminate, prevent, avoid or minimize adverse effects). This must include a description of contingency measures (including emergency response plans) that have been designed to address potential accidents and malfunctions that could result in spills or unplanned releases of contaminants or products to the environment. Contingency plans must address worst-case scenarios and reflect a consideration of local conditions and sensitivities. | Section 2.9   |
|   | Specific circumstances under which mitigative measures will be implemented must be clearly defined by the proponent. Mitigation options must be considered in a hierarchical manner with a clear priority placed on proactive measures for impact avoidance and pollution prevention opportunities   | Section 5.5.1   |
|   | Opportunities to contribute to a regional approach to management of cumulative environmental effects must also be identified (refer to Section 2.4 above).   | Section 5.5.2   |
| 26.   | An outline for contingency plans must also be provided:  |   |
| 27.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for use in the event of an environmental emergency attributable to the project and associated infrastructure, within the spatial boundaries of the study;</li> </ul>  | Section 2.9   |
| 28.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for use in the event of significant environmental effects, attributable to the project and associated infrastructure, which are detected through monitoring (this plan must be designed to be implemented should environmental effects be detected through monitoring); and</li> </ul>  | Section 2.9   |
| 29.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to address potential accidents and malfunctions that could result in spills or release of products and containment within the marine environment.</li> </ul>  | Section 23.5  |
| 30.   | Any on-site substances regulated by the <i>Environmental Emergency (E2) Regulations</i> under the <i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i> are to be identified, together with the quantities present, to the extent known in the EIA stage. An assessment of the risk related to use of these substances should be identified along with provisions for addressing the prevention, preparedness and response requirements of the <i>E2 regulations</i> .  | Chapter 3   |
| 31.   | The study must also consider compensation mechanisms to be used in the event that any unforeseen, accidental, or residual environmental effects occur. These compensation mechanisms/plans must be developed through consultation with federal and provincial agencies and other stakeholders, as appropriate. Compensation must be recognized as a last resort, but may be required if deliberate project-related effects cannot be otherwise mitigated.  | Chapters 7-23   |
| <b>2.6 Commitment to Monitoring and Follow-Up</b>   |  |   |
| 32.   | A well-defined program of monitoring and follow-up initiatives regarding environmental effects resulting or potentially resulting from the proposed project must be outlined in the EIA Report. Irving Oil Company, Limited must describe all of their proposed monitoring and follow-up programs, including their objectives, content, and implementation and reporting schedules. Proposed monitoring programs will be required to include plans, as appropriate, to:  | Section 2.9.13 and Chapters 7-23  |
| 33.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>establish baseline conditions, if they have not been previously established to support the EIA Report;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 6<br>Sections 7.2, 8.2, 9.2, 10.2, 11.2, 12.2, 13.2, 14.2, 15.2, 16.2, 17.2, 18.2, 19.2, 20.2, and 21.2 |

| Ref No. | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA  |
|---------|---|--|
| 34.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>determine regulatory compliance (compliance monitoring);</li> </ul>  | Sections 7.1.1, 8.1.1, 9.1.1, 10.1.1, 11.1.1, 12.1.1, 13.1.1, 14.1.1, 15.1.1, 16.1.1, 17.1.1, 18.1.1, 19.1.1, 20.1.1, and 21.1.1 |
| 35.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>test the predictions of the EIA (environmental effects monitoring, EEM); and</li> </ul>  | Sections 2.9.13, 5.7, 7.8, 8.6, 9.7, 10.7, 12.7, 13.6, 14.6, 15.6, 16.6, 17.6, 18.6, 19.6, 20.4.3, and 21.6                      |
| 36.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>evaluate the effectiveness of measures used to mitigate environmental effects (EEM).</li> </ul>  | Sections 2.9.13, 5.7, 7.8, 8.6, 9.7, 10.7, 12.7, 13.6, 14.6, 15.6, 16.6, 17.6, 18.6, 19.6, 20.4.3, and 21.6                      |
| 37.     | Monitoring programs should include protocols that would guide interpretation of monitoring results and timely implementation of appropriate corrective actions.   | Sections 2.9.13, 5.7, 7.8, 8.6, 9.7, 10.7, 12.7, 13.6, 14.6, 15.6, 16.6, 17.6, 18.6, 19.6, 20.4.3, and 21.6                      |
| 38.     | Monitoring initiatives must be based upon accurate baseline information for the existing physical, biological and socio-economic environments. The proponent is expected to collect the necessary information through existing data sources ("data mining") or through primary research such as fieldwork and laboratory testing, as required.  | Sections 2.9.13, 5.7, 7.8, 8.6, 9.7, 10.7, 12.7, 13.6, 14.6, 15.6, 16.6, 17.6, 18.6, 19.6, 20.4.3, and 21.6                      |
| 39.     | Where the EIA predictions are not based on objective information, monitoring programs must be designed, where possible, to collect relevant data not previously available.  | Sections 2.9.13, 5.7, 7.8, 8.6, 9.7, 10.7, 12.7, 13.6, 14.6, 15.6, 16.6, 17.6, 18.6, 19.6, 20.4.3, and 21.6                      |
| 40.     | Provisions for changing impact mitigation and project management in response to follow-up and monitoring findings in a timely and effective manner will need to be described. In supporting an adaptive management approach, it will be important that the follow-up and monitoring program includes particular attention to:   | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 41.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the need for a follow-up and monitoring program and its objectives;</li> </ul>   | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 42.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the structure of the program;</li> </ul>   | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 43.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the specific elements of the environment that are to be monitored;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 44.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>where monitoring will occur;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 45.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the frequency and duration of monitoring; and,</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 46.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>protocols for the interpretation of follow-up results and actions to be taken based on findings.</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 47.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the roles to be played by all stakeholders, as applicable;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 48.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the sources of funding, as appropriate; and,</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 49.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the reporting of results and actions taken.</li> </ul>   | Chapters 3, 5, 7-23  |
| 50.     | Several taxa hold promise in terms of long term monitoring with respect to air pollutants (lichens), water quality (e.g.: dragonflies and other aquatic inverts), and the deposition of heavy metals and other contaminants (dried tissues from lichens, bryophytes, vascular plants, insects, terrestrial molluscs, or small mammals). Marine sediment chemistry and toxicity should be considered for monitoring potential marine effects of the project. All of the above recommendations should be considered as part of the assessment and future long term follow-up monitoring. Consideration should also be given to include aquatic organisms such as fish to assess accumulation of organic and inorganic pollutants into the food web. | Chapters 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13   |
| 51.     | Specific monitoring requirements as outlined under section 79(2) of the Species at Risk Act (SARA) will need to be considered as applicable.  | Chapters 10, 11, 12, and 13  |

| Ref No.  | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA |
|--|---|-------------------|
| 52.  | The descriptions of significance levels and statistical power to be used in the design of the monitoring program should, as applicable, be stated and justified as they are integral to the development and sensitivity of the monitoring plan. The development of the refinery allows for a true before-after control-impact design. The baseline data requirements should form the basis for a post-operational monitoring program that is focused on real adaptive management to allow for response to issues identified through monitoring. The program should have both a monitoring and assessment component that includes triggers that demonstrate that potential environmental effects that were predicted have occurred, as well as a component that will detect environmental effects that were not predicted. | Chapters 7-22     |
| <b>2.7 Public, Stakeholder and Aboriginal Community Consultation</b> |   |                   |
| 53.  | Public consultation is an essential component of this EIA. Irving Oil Company, Limited must consult with persons and organizations potentially affected by the proposed project and associated infrastructure, and must inform and engage any interested individuals, groups, stakeholders and Aboriginal Communities in this assessment. This will include local governments and specific groups with mandates/initiatives in this area. The stakeholder consultation program of Irving Oil Company, Limited is to be reviewed and accepted in the early stages of the study (i.e.: should be included in the Terms of Reference).   | Section 4.3       |
| 54.  | Irving Oil Company, Limited will be expected to hold appropriate public consultation events and to use various media to engage open public consultation (e.g.: bulletins, website, e-mails, study updates, workshops, open-houses, etc). All interested parties will be provided with an opportunity to participate in consultation initiatives (including workshops and similar initiatives) in order to provide input in the assessment and/or make their views known. Various stakeholders will be consulted throughout the environmental assessment process, including interested parties from the Aboriginal Communities; neighbouring residents; general public; non-government organizations and interest groups. The objectives of this consultation must be:   | Section 4.3       |
| 55.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to ensure that the potentially affected public, stakeholders and Aboriginal Communities are engaged in meaningful discussion and are well informed prior to the government's decision, as to the nature and extent of environmental effects attributable to the proposed project (i.e.: both positive and negative effects); and</li> </ul>  | Section 4.3       |
| 56.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to ensure that the values and concerns of the public, stakeholders and Aboriginal Communities are incorporated and adequately addressed in the study;</li> </ul>   | Section 4.3       |
| 57.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to obtain expertise (where applicable) from various members of the public, stakeholders and Aboriginal Communities;</li> </ul>   | Section 4.3       |
| 58.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>stakeholders, including the public must be informed of the status of the study at regular intervals/at key milestones during the study;</li> </ul>   | Section 4.3       |
| 59.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>stakeholders, including the public must be provided with an opportunity to offer input on all key components of the proposal (e.g.: Terms of Reference, Component Studies, etc.). These initiatives must be open to interested parties and not be limited to selected individuals or groups.</li> </ul>  | Section 4.3       |
| 60.  | The format of the above consultation must be adapted to adequately reflect public interest.   | Section 4.3       |
| 61.  | Chiefs and council of the Mi'kmaq and Maliseet communities in New Brunswick, as well as aboriginal groups (e.g.: Union of New Brunswick Indians, the MAWIW Council, etc.) will need to be contacted and informed of the project. If required by the leadership of the Aboriginal Communities a presentation and further consultation may be necessary.  | Section 4.3       |

| Ref No.   | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA          |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| 62.   | The EIA Report must document the dates and formats for public and other stakeholder consultation initiatives undertaken, the material presented, the opportunity for receiving input, a summary review of any concerns expressed, and how these concerns were addressed. It must be clear how the input from consultations was used in the assessment and what changes to the process or project were made as a result of comments provided.  | Section 4.3                |
| <b>2.8 Terms of Reference (TOR)</b>                   |   |                            |
| 63.   | The proponent must submit detailed TOR in response to the Final EIA Guidelines. The TOR must clearly describe the methods proposed for carrying out the EIA, and the means by which Irving Oil Company, Limited will consult with the public, stakeholders and Aboriginal Communities during the course of the EIA process.   | Final Terms of Reference   |
| 64.   | The Proponent is required to provide, as part of the TOR, a cross-referenced index (Concordance or Disposition Table) showing where the content and issues of the Final EIA Guidelines have been addressed. The Review Committee will examine the TOR and comments/deficiencies may be provided to the proponent to address prior to finalization.  | Final Terms of Reference   |
| 65.   | In addition, the TOR must outline the components of any proposed field programs, any anticipated challenges/obstacles to be encountered, proposed modelling approaches, identify key members of the study team, and fully describe all specific tasks to be completed as part of the study.   | Final Terms of Reference   |
| 66.   | Public and stakeholder consultation must be undertaken by the proponent to allow interested parties, the public, stakeholders, and Aboriginal Communities to provide input to the TOR prior to finalization. The final TOR must be approved by the Review Committee.  | Final Terms of Reference   |
| <b>3.0 CONDUCT OF THE STUDY AND CONTENT OF REPORT</b> |   |                            |
| 67.   | The EIA Report must be written in the clearest language possible. Where the complexity of the issues addressed requires the use of technical language, a glossary defining technical words and acronyms must be included. The International System of Units (SI) must be used throughout the report and all supporting documents. The study must include consideration of, but is not limited to, the appropriate regulations and guidelines.   | Glossary and Chapters 1-24 |
| 68.   | The EIA Report must provide a complete and accurate description of the project from planning through construction commissioning, operation, maintenance and decommissioning, supported with appropriate maps and diagrams. Emphasis will be placed on describing those aspects of the project, including accidents and malfunctions that have a reasonable probability of occurrence and that could be expected to affect the environment. An identification of how potential environmental and man-made hazards have influenced the design and operation of the project will also be provided. | Chapter 3                  |
| 69.   | The following titles may be used as a framework for the development of the EIA Report:  | See below:                 |

| Ref No.   | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA                       |
|---|---|---|
| 70.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Summary</li> <li>• Introduction</li> <li>• Regulatory Framework (e.g., Application of Regulation 87-83)</li> <li>• Scope of the Project</li> <li>• Scope of the Environmental Assessment</li> <li>• Purpose and Description of the Project</li> <li>• Alternative Means of Carrying Out the Project and their Environmental Effects</li> <li>• Description of the Existing Environment</li> <li>• Environmental Effects, including Effects of Malfunctions and Accidents &amp; Cumulative Environmental Effects</li> <li>• Mitigation Measures</li> <li>• Significance of Residual Effects</li> <li>• Public, Stakeholder, and Aboriginal Consultation</li> <li>• Monitoring Initiatives and Follow-Up Programs</li> <li>• The Capacity of Renewable Resources that are Likely to be Significantly Affected by the Project</li> <li>• All Commitments made in the EIA Report</li> <li>• Conclusion/Recommendation</li> <li>• References (i.e.: a complete list of all references cited in the EIA Report is to be provided)</li> </ul> | Executive Summary and Table of Contents |
| 71.   | The Executive Summary should include, but not be limited to a summary description of the project; a summary of predicted environmental effects (along with cumulative environmental effects, effects of the environment on the project, transboundary effects, effects related to accidents and malfunctions); a summary of proposed mitigation measures; a summary of proposed follow-up monitoring and a summary of management plans; and, anticipated residual effects.  | Executive Summary                       |
| 72.   | Detailed methods of all field studies that are undertaken, together with the results of those studies, should be presented as applicable in the EIA Report or in appendices to the EIA Report.  | Section 3.5 and Chapters 7-21           |
| 73.   | <p>A section in the EIA Report that identifies and outlines the existing legislation, regulations, guidelines and policies (municipal, provincial and federal) applicable to the project (as well as any related infrastructure) and the related authorizations, will also be required. A comprehensive list of permits and regulatory approvals required for the all aspects of the project will also be required. The list will include the following details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• activity requiring regulatory approval;</li> <li>• name of permit and/or regulatory approval (e.g. authorization);</li> <li>• legislation requiring compliance;</li> <li>• regulatory agency.</li> </ul>  | Chapters 3, 4, 7-21                     |
| <b>3.1 Project Description – Scope of Project</b> |   |   |
| 74.   | The scope of the project to be assessed pursuant to Regulation 87-83 will include: the construction (including any pre-construction preparation work), commissioning, operation, and decommissioning of a petroleum refinery and all associated infrastructure. Emphasis will be placed on describing those aspects of the project (including accidents and malfunctions) that have a reasonable probability of occurrence that could be expected to affect the environment. Details will need to be provided on the commissioning phase of the proposal and any potential environment effects related to this phase. It will also include shipping of products as specified below.   | Chapter 3                               |
| 75.   | The project to be assessed and its description in the EIA Report must include but not be limited to:  | See below:                              |

| Ref No. | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA          |
|---------|---|----------------------------|
| 76.     | <p>- the location, size, layout, capacity, boundaries of the petroleum refinery and all associated facilities and infrastructure (e.g.: ancillary facilities including steam boilers, freshwater systems, wastewater systems, etc. tankage for storage of crude oil, raw materials, refined petroleum products (with a complete descriptions of each potential product), and feedstocks; petroleum coke storage and handling facility; marine terminals; ROWs for pipelines, electrical power, petroleum coke conveying, rail spur, and access roads);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• freshwater requirements, proposed source(s), and methods of access/extraction;</li> <li>• process wastewater treatment systems and discharge locations;</li> <li>• on-site sewage handling;</li> <li>• petroleum coke and sulphur storage, handling and offloading facilities;</li> <li>• the regulatory standards to which the components of this project will be built and operated;</li> <li>• ship operation characteristics, including all planned vessel traffic in the Bay of Fundy;</li> <li>• the construction methodology and design description for the marine terminals;</li> <li>• the loading and offloading systems;</li> <li>• the storage tanks;</li> <li>• rail spur;</li> <li>• secondary containment systems;</li> <li>• a detailed description of all project-related emissions and wastes;</li> <li>• pipelines to and from the refinery, including their respective sizes, alignments and contents;</li> </ul> | Chapter 3                  |
| 77.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• power generating infrastructure;</li> <li>• required land and marine exclusion zones;</li> <li>• upsets of environmental control equipment which may change the nature of emissions and/or effluent;</li> <li>• transportation, handling, and storage methods for chemicals, reagents, catalysts and other substances that may be hazardous (identify chemicals by the Chemical Abstract Service Registry Number, together with associated quantities and characteristics);</li> <li>• the environmental effects of project related traffic on road infrastructure and the transportation network;</li> <li>• the construction methodology and design description for the refinery;</li> <li>• outline of the facility commissioning;</li> <li>• the layout of the road, laydown, storage and office infrastructure, construction related lay down areas, infrastructure (including worker facilities), temporary wastewater systems, etc.;</li> <li>• fire prevention and control equipment;</li> <li>• lighting requirements; and,</li> <li>• an estimate of the energy required to operate the facility.</li> </ul>   | Chapter 3                  |
| 78.     | Maps of appropriate scale are to be employed to assist in describing the location, size, layout and boundaries of the refinery and all associated infrastructure and ROWs, as well as all planned vessel traffic routes entering and leaving Saint John Harbour and the Bay of Fundy shipping lanes and its approaches.   | Figures 3.1 and 21.1       |
| 79.     | A voluntary commitment by Irving Oil Company, Limited has been made to undertake a TERMPOL Review of the project. As applicable, the project description will be based on the elements of the TERMPOL Review Process (TP743E) that are necessary to support the evaluation of the environmental effects of the project as outlined in Section 4.0 of these Guidelines.  | Section 3.3 and Chapter 21 |

| Ref No.  | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>3.2 Project Rationale</b>                           |   |   |
| 80.  | The purpose and need of the project must be clearly identified. The report must provide clear justification for the project in order to allow for an evaluation of the relative environmental effects of the proposed development.  | Section 2.4   |
| <b>3.3 Identification and Analysis of Alternatives</b> |   |   |
| 81.  | Using the approach indicated below, the study should evaluate alternatives to the project as proposed that are technically and economically feasible and alternative means of carrying out the project. This analysis will contribute to a further understanding of the project rationale and will facilitate decision-making with respect to its acceptability.  | Sections 2.6, 2.7, and 3.3.4  |
| 82.  | (a) The null or "do nothing" alternative (not constructing and operating the refinery). The study must examine the implications of not proceeding with the project with reference to environmental (both biophysical and socio-economic) factors/effects.   | Section 2.6.1   |
| 83.  | (b) The analysis must include consideration of alternative locations. A description of the methodology used to select the site and the results of the site selection process must be presented providing the rationale for rejecting other potential sites.   | Section 2.6.2   |
| 84.  | (c) Alternative means of carrying out the project and the environmental effects of such alternative means, including but not limited to alternative shipping corridors that are technically and economically feasible as well as methods of receiving and shipping petroleum products. The analysis of alternatives to specific components of the project must also be conducted to allow for the identification of more environmentally effective practices.                             | Sections 3.2.3 and 3.3.4  |
|  | In addition, the EIA Report should include a discussion of the different environmental control technologies that were considered taking into account their respective energy and water requirements, together with their effects on the generation of emissions, effluents and wastes. Such a discussion should demonstrate an understanding of Best Available Technologies and Best Management Practices and how they may be practically incorporated into all aspects of the project.   | Section 3.2.3   |
| 85.  | (d) There must also be an assessment of the various models that can be used to predict air emissions, thereby providing a justification for the model that will be chosen. The assessment should describe the applicability and limitations of the models (including sources of error and relative accuracy) and state the assumptions and inputs used to make modelling predictions. A rationale should be provided for selecting one particular model over others that were considered. | Section 3.5 and Chapter 7   |
| 86.  | An assessment and analysis of the emerging environmental control technologies with special analysis of the "proven control technologies" will be required. A comparative analysis of other technologies, such as best available control technologies and maximum achievable control technologies will also need to be undertaken. In addition a comparative analysis of the effectiveness, reliability and risks between these technologies will also need to be provided.                | Section 3.2.3   |
| <b>3.4 Description of the Existing Environment</b>     |   |   |
| 87.  | The EIA Report must describe the existing environment focusing on identified VECs (Valued Environmental Components) within the study boundaries. This description must reflect the dynamics of environmental components (biophysical, social, and economic), and identify trends in the context of predicted changes over time.   | Chapter 6<br>Sections 7.2, 8.2, 9.2, 10.2, 11.2, 12.2, 13.2, 14.2, 15.2, 16.2, 17.2, 18.2, 19.2, 20.2, and 21.2 |
| 88.  | A description of the existing environment within the defined study boundaries should consider, but not be limited to, the following:  | See below   |
| 89.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Atmospheric environmental components, including climatic and ambient air quality data;</li> </ul>  | Section 7.2   |
| 90.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Terrestrial environmental components, including topography, geology, watershed hydrology/geohydrology, groundwater resources;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 6, Sections 8.2, and 11.2   |

| Ref No. | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA                 |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 91.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Terrestrial biological environmental components, including species at risk and species of conservation concern and their habitats (flora and fauna), species migratory patterns, ecologically sensitive or significant areas, and protected areas/critical habitat features. The descriptions of use of the area by migratory birds (terrestrial and aquatic biological environmental components) are to consider when (i.e.: seasons) each species is likely to be present in the study area and areas typically used for nesting, foraging, and/or staging. Areas of concentration are particularly important. The presence of mature and interior forest (if any) used by migratory birds should also be described;</li> </ul> | Section 5.11.2                    |
| 92.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aquatic physical and chemical environmental components (freshwater, estuarine, and marine), including fish, fish habitat, fishery resources, Species at risk and Species of conservation concern and their habitats, species migratory patterns, ecologically sensitive or significant areas, and protected areas/critical habitat features;</li> </ul>   | Chapters 10 and 13                |
| 93.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aquatic physical environmental components (freshwater, estuarine, and marine), including bathymetric/geomorphologic, hydrodynamic, water quality, sediment and ice regime, and coastal and oceanographic data;</li> </ul>   | Chapters 10 and 13                |
| 94.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Socio-economic environmental components, including demographic data (e.g.: population and labour force), local economy;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 15                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>local services;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 16                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>past, current and foreseeable land use (including agriculture), zoning restrictions;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 17                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the geographical location of regional fishing operations, the seasonal variations of fishing activities;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 14                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>archaeological and heritage resources;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 19                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>transportation and associated infrastructure;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 20                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>existing public health and safety concerns;</li> </ul>  | Section 3.5 and Chapters 9 and 23 |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ambient noise levels (near potentially affected habitation);</li> </ul>   | Chapters 3 and 7                  |
| 95.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>With specific reference to fisheries, the description must include a socio-economic profile of each identified fishery;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 14                        |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Current emission and effluent volumes and characteristics, including any points of discharge from stormwater and sewer collection systems (both routine and upset/ emergency scenarios);</li> </ul>   | Section 3.4.2                     |
| 96.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The potential for encountering contaminated soils/materials (including mobilization of naturally occurring contaminants);</li> </ul>  | Chapters 6, 8                     |
| 97.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Localized seismic activity;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 6 and 22                 |
| 98.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wetlands;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 12                        |
| 99.     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Current and potential marine zoning restrictions;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 13 and 21                |
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Land use and zoning restrictions;</li> </ul>  | Chapter 17                        |
| 100.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Airplane flight paths;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 17 and Section 3.5.5      |
| 101.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Migratory routes for both birds and marine mammals;</li> </ul>  | Chapters 11, 13                   |
| 102.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local road networks;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 20                        |
| 103.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transportation (traffic volumes and types of vehicles);</li> </ul>  | Chapter 20                        |
| 104.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Marine traffic and types of vessels);</li> </ul>  | Chapter 21                        |
| 105.    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Current use of land and resources for traditional purposes by aboriginal persons.</li> </ul>  | Chapter 18                        |
| 106.    | In developing the description of the existing environmental setting, field investigations may be required to address information deficiencies and facilitate the assessment.   | Chapters 3, 7-23                  |
| 107.    | The above will also be required for all infrastructure ROWs and corridors associated with this proposal (including: pipelines, wastewater treatment, freshwater sources, railway spur, electrical, etc.).  | Chapter 3                         |

| Ref No.                                       | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA                       |
|---|---|---|
| <b>3.5 Cross-Referenced Index</b>             |   |   |
| 108.  | To assist the readers, a cross-referenced index (i.e.: Concordance or Disposition Table), which shows where the content and issues outlined in the Final EIA Guidelines are addressed in the report, is required. This index must be submitted with the Draft EIA Report.   | This Table of Concordance               |
| <b>4.0 POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS</b>    |   |   |
| 109.  | Presented here are a number of specific issues for study. However, this framework does not limit the assessment. Should additional relevant issues, concerns, or potentially significant environmental effects be identified through discussion with members of the Review Committee, regulatory agencies, the public, stakeholders and the Aboriginal Communities, Irving Oil Company, Limited must incorporate these issues into the assessment. The assessment must include consideration of, but is not limited to, the appropriate regulations and guidelines.   | Section 4.3, Chapters 7-23              |
| 110.  | The capacity of renewable resources that are likely to be significantly affected by the project (either positively or negatively) to meet the needs of the present and those of the future should also be considered.   | Chapter 23                              |
|   | Cumulative environmental effects are to be considered individually for each identified VEC.   | Chapters 7-23                           |
| 111.  | All potential project-related environmental effects (i.e.: both positive and negative) resulting from the proposed construction and operation of the facility and all associated infrastructure (including potential effects resulting from accidents or malfunctions), must be included in the assessment. A comparison of net positive and negative environmental effects must also be included.  | Chapters 7-23                           |
| <b>4.1 Effects on Atmospheric Environment</b> |   |   |
| 112.  | Assess the environmental effects of the construction, commissioning, operational/maintenance and decommissioning phases of the project on atmospheric environment, including air quality, sound quality, odour and climate. Any substantive emissions will first need to be quantified. This will be done on a local and regional basis. This will include an analysis of routine air emissions and upset conditions, including accidents and malfunctions. The effects of transportation related emissions will be considered including environmental effects on air quality, and human health (e.g., emissions resulting from any change in traffic patterns, etc.). Transportation related emissions would include emissions from construction equipment, additional traffic associated with the facility, marine traffic, etc.  | Chapter 7                               |
| 113.  | Provide details on how emissions will be controlled at each emissions source and briefly discuss why the proposed technology was selected over other potential methods of control.  | Sections 3.2 and 3.3                    |
| 114.  | The potential ambient air quality environmental effects of emissions from each significant source should be assessed by conducting air dispersion and deposition modelling to predict the concentrations of air contaminants. The models selected should be EPA-approved for both ambient and deposition modelling. Please supply the inputs to the model and any assumptions used in the process. Note that inputs to the model should represent maximum emission rates of the units being modelled. A discussion of the results should include a discussion of existing ambient levels in Saint John and potential cumulative increases. Transboundary influences will also need to be assessed and need to include a consideration of environmental effects on another country, environmental effects on another province and environmental effects on federal lands and waters as applicable. | Sections 3.5.1, 7.4.1, 7.5.1, and 7.6.1 |



| Ref No.                         | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA                             |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 115.                            | Emissions associated with site preparation, construction, commissioning and operation/maintenance phases that will contribute to the atmospheric load of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions must be assessed. Provide details on how GHG emissions can be reduced or managed, for example this could include discussion regarding carbon capture, sequestration, offsets, carbon trading as well as CO2 storage. Estimates of greenhouse gases should be placed in context with total emissions for New Brunswick and within the industry nationally. In addition the following should be provided: | Sections 3.5.1, 7.4.1, 7.5.1, and 7.6.1       |
| 116.                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the expected annual and total GHGs over the construction, commissioning, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project (a greenhouse management plan should inventory GHGs along with methods to reduce the quantities through Best Management Practices and Best Available Technology);</li> </ul>  | Sections 2.9, 3.5.1, 7.3, and Section 7.4.1.2 |
| 117.                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the project's contribution to total provincial and national GHG emissions on an annual basis;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 7                                     |
| 118.                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the intensity of GHG emissions per unit of crude processed through the refinery together with a discussion of how it compares with similar projects and technology performance; and</li> </ul>   | Chapter 7                                     |
| 119.                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>how the project design and GHG management plans have taken into account the need for continuous improvement with respect to GHG emissions.</li> </ul>  | Chapters 2 and 7                              |
| 120.                            | Include the environmental effects of operational upsets. Provide details on how pollution control equipment will be used to limit emissions and how upsets can affect the surrounding environment and health of the public in general. Assess the potential environmental effects associated with an unplanned event such as a fire or explosion at the facility.   | Section 23.1                                  |
| 121.                            | Efforts to mitigate the generation of odours and wind-borne dust from the proposed project must be provided. At every opportunity, odour generation should be attenuated. When odour generation cannot be completely mitigated, the proponent should be prepared to fully defend the emission and why it was not completely mitigated.  | Chapter 7 and Section 23.1                    |
| 122.                            | Demonstrate the ability of the proposed project to meet or exceed emission standards (provincial, national, other Canadian provinces, international, etc.).   | Chapter 7-21                                  |
| 123.                            | A discussion of the climatology of the area shall be provided including both micro and macro climatological effects. An assessment of how climatological features of the area (i.e.: temperature inversions) will affect atmospheric emissions will also be required.   | Section 6.2.3 and Chapters 7, 22              |
| 124.                            | A discussion of air quality monitoring options and their feasibility for implementation should be completed. This should include but not be limited to, supplementary control systems, continuous emission monitoring equipment, and ambient air quality monitoring systems. In addition, a discussion related to how significant sources of stack and fugitive emissions will be monitored and tested will be required.  | Chapters 3 and 7                              |
| <b>4.2 Freshwater Resources</b> |   |   |
| 125.                            | Assess the potential environmental effects of the construction, commissioning, operational/maintenance and decommissioning phases on groundwater and surface water resources. Water conservation through innovative technologies including recycling and using treated wastewater will need to be fully explored and evaluated as part of this assessment.  | Section 3.2 and Chapter 8                     |
| 126.                            | Provide details on the quantity and quality of water required for operation of the refinery.  | Section 3.2                                   |
| 127.                            | A Water Supply Source Assessment Process should be undertaken if the volume of groundwater to be used is greater than 50 m3 per day, including water for fire protection.   | Not applicable                                |
| 128.                            | The potential for interference with domestic wells during the construction, commissioning, operation and maintenance and decommissioning phases should be examined to evaluate environmental effects on groundwater resources.  | Chapter 8                                     |

| Ref No.  | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA            |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| 129.   | In describing the water supply, the following details are to be provided:  | See below:                   |
| 130.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the water requirements for construction, commissioning, normal operating conditions, emergency operating conditions (including worst case scenarios), and decommissioning;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 3 and 8              |
| 131.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the overall water balance;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 8                    |
| 132.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the location of water sources/intakes and associated infrastructure; and</li> </ul>   | Chapter 3                    |
| 133.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>temporary or permanent alterations or diversions of watercourses.</li> </ul>  | Not Applicable               |
| 134.   | Effects on hydrology in the area are to be considered. In discussing potential effects of the project on groundwater resources, consideration should be given to the implications for water levels and flows in nearby streams and wetlands.   | Chapters 8, 10 and 12        |
| 135.   | A groundwater monitoring program is to be described as applicable.   | Section 5.2.9 and Chapter 8  |
| 136.   | In addition, any potential environmental effects to local groundwater users (water quantity and quality issues) due to construction and operation of the petroleum refinery and associated infrastructure will need to be discussed. The effect on the City of Saint John water supply as a result of potential water use should also be examined, since the Project may need to draw on the City water resources. | Chapter 8                    |
| <b>4.3 Effects on Public Health and Safety</b> |  |                              |
| 137.   | Public health will need to be assessed both in light of long term (chronic) conditions as well as short term (acute) conditions. Public health can be affected by emissions and environmental effects on air quality, drinking water quality and food, among other factors.  | Chapter 9                    |
| 138.   | An assessment of the potential for environmental effects on public health will be required by conducting a Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (HHERA). The HHERA will consider the potential risks of adverse environmental effects of all project-related emissions during all phases of the project. Cumulative environmental effects will need to be considered as part of the HHERA.                  | Chapter 9                    |
| 139.   | Identify circumstances where there may be increased environmental effects on human health and suggest possible programs for offsetting any increases.  | Chapter 9                    |
| 140.   | Potential effects to public safety will be considered as they relate to fires,   | Section 23.2                 |
|  | explosions,  | Section 23.2                 |
|  | spills,  | Section 23.3                 |
|  | marine spills,   | Section 23.5                 |
|  | marine collisions,<br>and vehicle accidents.   | Section 23.6<br>Section 23.7 |
| 141.   | Assess the risk to the local communities (e.g.: Mispic, Red Head Road, etc) and the larger City of Saint John in the event of an accident or emergency during the shipping, refining, handling, storage and transportation of petroleum products associated with the facilities.   | Chapter 23                   |
| 142.   | Describe the specific, important malfunction and accident events that have a reasonable probability of occurring during the operation life of the project. Describe under what conditions a fire or explosion could occur at this facility or in the pipelines to and from the refinery.   | Chapter 23                   |
| 143.   | Describe the procedures for the development and the anticipated components of an environmental protection/emergency response plan for construction, commissioning, operation and decommissioning, including spill prevention, and spill response contingency planning.   | Chapters 2 and 23            |
| 144.   | The above plans will need to be reviewed and approved by appropriate provincial and federal regulators and the City of Saint John. Consideration will need to be given to coordinating emergency response with the City of Saint John.   | N/A                          |
| 145.   | Describe the key components relevant to safety during the construction activity.   | Section 2.9.1                |
| 146.   | Identify sources and characteristics of any potential risks to workers during construction and subsequent operation.   | Chapters 9 and 23            |

| Ref No.                                       | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA            |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| 147.  | Describe how the infrastructure of the facility and management of the operation of the facility will minimize risk. Key components relevant to the management of malfunctions and accidents that may occur during the construction, commissioning and subsequent operations should be described. Itemize safety qualification/certification required for construction and operation of the project. Describe how any potential risks associated an unplanned event at the nearby LNG facility will be addressed. Provide details on safety/security concerns resulting from any potential interaction of the current proposal and adjacent LNG facility and how these will be addressed.                         | Chapter 23                   |
| 148.  | Provide details regarding security considerations with respect to the facility and associated infrastructure.  | Chapter 3                    |
| 149.  | An assessment of the potential effects to civil aviation as a result of facility stacks will need to be undertaken in order to ensure that the facility infrastructure, including stacks, does not interfere with flight paths.  | Section 3.5.5 and Chapter 17 |
| <b>4.4 Freshwater Environment</b>             |  |                              |
| 150.  | Assess the environmental effects of the proposed project on the freshwater environment, including (but not limited to) water quality, fish and fish habitat within the environmental assessment boundaries (including the corridors required for any associated infrastructure). A number of watercourses will potentially be impacted by this project (refinery and associated infrastructure – including all ROWs) and will need to be assessed. Predict the environmental effect of any potential deterioration/improvement in water quality on freshwater environment VECs.  | Chapter 10                   |
| 151.  | Describe the procedures for the development and the anticipated components of an environmental protection/emergency response plan as they relate to the freshwater environment, including spill prevention and spill response contingency planning.  | Section 2.9                  |
| 152.  | As indicated previously a Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment will be required to assess the environmental effects on the ecosystem including the freshwater environment. Any potential interactions from this project and associated infrastructure with the Inner Bay of Fundy (IBOF) Atlantic Salmon must be described and assessed.  | Chapters 3 and 10            |
| 153.  | Rainbow smelt, shad, gaspereau, striped bass, American eel, Atlantic salmon and brook trout in the Saint John River and estuary may also need to be evaluated.   | Chapter 10                   |
| 154.  | In addition, the following will need to be discussed:  |                              |
| 155.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential for accidental releases of chemicals and petroleum products that could environmental effects to surface water/groundwater environment;</li> </ul>   | Chapter 23                   |
| 156.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Production of wastewater, and wastewater treatment and release and environmental effects to surface water/groundwater.</li> </ul>   | Chapter 3                    |
| 157.  | Development of baseline data with respect to appropriate environment sentinels should be undertaken in designing recommended monitoring. The justification for the selection of particular monitoring species and the methods for post-operational assessment of potential environmental effects, and the baseline data needed for assessment are to be explicitly stated.   | Chapters 3, 7-23             |
| <b>4.5 Effects on Terrestrial Environment</b> |  |                              |
| 158.  | Assess the potential environmental effects of construction, commissioning, operation/maintenance and decommissioning of the project and associated infrastructure on terrestrial environments, including all plant and animal species and their habitat. Key issues to be evaluated as part of this assessment are existing vegetation, terrestrial Species at risk (including species listed on the New Brunswick Endangered Species Act, SARA and species listed by COSEWIC), Species of conservation concern, and other terrestrial wildlife and wildlife habitat. Since this project is anticipated to potentially affect 240 hectares of land, extensive field surveys and review will likely be necessary. | Chapter 11                   |

| Ref No.                                      | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA           |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| 159.   | An evaluation of the effects of the project and associated infrastructure on migratory birds and migratory bird habitat is an important element of the assessment. In conducting the evaluation, any stacks or other portions of the works (e.g.: powerlines, lighting) that are likely to pose a risk of collision or to impact avian movement and/or migration routes should be considered. In addition, any structures (e.g.: wastewater treatment ponds, oil/water separators) that could attract migratory birds should be discussed.  | Chapter 11                  |
| 160.   | As indicated previously a Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (HHERA) will be required to assess the environmental effects on the ecology associated with the terrestrial environment.  | Chapters 3 and 11           |
| 161.   | Assess the environmental effects of the project and associated infrastructure on species (flora and fauna) considered to be at risk under national, provincial and regional classification systems (i.e.: endangered, threatened, species of special conservation status, and rare species) including species listed on the New Brunswick Endangered Species Act as well as the federal Species at Risk Act (SARA). Include consideration of any Species at risk and Species of conservation concern known to occur within the zones of influence of the proposed project and for which there are potential project-VEC interactions anticipated that could result in significant environmental effects.  | Chapter 11                  |
| 162.   | The following information sources on Species at risk and Species of conservation concern in the general project area (and corridors for any associated infrastructure) must be consulted:   | Chapters 10, 11, 12, and 13 |
| 163.   | • NB Department of Natural Resources (NBDNR);   |                             |
| 164.   | • Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre (AC CDC);  |                             |
| 165.   | • SARA Species List (SARA Public Registry);   |                             |
| 166.   | • COSEWIC List (latest version on the website);   |                             |
| 167.   | • New Brunswick Museum;   |                             |
| 168.   | • NB Endangered Species Act;  |                             |
| 169.   | • Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS); and  |                             |
| 170.   | • Local naturalist and interest groups.   |                             |
| 171.   | Appropriately scaled maps showing habitats (including mature and interior forest habitat, if any, and other important habitats) in the project area should be presented on figures (both in baseline figures and in relation to proposed project infrastructure), as well as locations of Species at risk and Species of conservation concern, areas of concentrations of birds or other wildlife, designated areas, and protected areas.   | Chapters 10, 11, 12, and 13 |
| 172.   | It is important that field surveys and investigations required to supplement available data are designed and conducted in a manner acceptable to the appropriate provincial and federal authorities.  | Chapters 1-23               |
| <b>4.6 Effects on Wetland Environment</b>    |   |                             |
| 173.   | An assessment of all wetlands within the assessment area and adjacent areas downstream, including any environmental effects associated with infrastructure related to the project, is to be conducted. Both coastal and freshwater wetlands should be clearly delineated on figures (both in baseline figures and in relation to the proposed project infrastructure). The approach described in the Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation (Environment Canada, 1991) the New Brunswick Wetlands Conservation Policy (2002) and the draft proposed Wetland Mitigation Guidelines for New Brunswick (NBDNR, 2003) are to be followed, including the mitigation sequence of avoidance, minimization, and as a last resort, compensation. A policy goal of no-net loss of wetland function should guide the EIA effort. | Chapter 12                  |
| 174.   | A wetland functional analysis should be conducted for wetlands potentially affected by the project. Field surveys and investigations required to supplement available data are to be designed and conducted in a manner acceptable to the appropriate provincial and federal authorities.   | Chapter 12                  |
| <b>4.7 Effects on the Marine Environment</b> |   |                             |
| 175.   | An assessment of fish, fish habitat and migratory birds in the area affected by the project will need to be undertaken.   | Chapter 13                  |

| Ref No.                         | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA     |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 176.                            | Predict effects to the VECs in the Bay of Fundy ecosystem from an increase in vessel traffic arising from the construction and operation of the refinery and the marine components associated with this facility.  | Chapter 13            |
| 177.                            | Predict effects to the VECs in the vicinity of the marine terminal(s), the shipping channel approaches (between where the ships leave the existing shipping lanes and the terminal(s)), and any new anchorages.  | Chapters 13 and 21    |
| 178.                            | The environmental effects of construction, operation and maintenance activities on marine water quality and the benthic environment will need to be assessed. This would include any project related dredging and dredge disposal (as part of construction as well as operation/maintenance) in addition to pile driving activities. Predict the environmental effect of any potential deterioration in water quality on the VECs of the Marine Environment. This would include any wastewater (or effluent) discharge and any intake water required for the operation of the refinery.  | Chapter 13            |
| 179.                            | Evaluate the risk to the VECs in the Bay of Fundy Marine Environment from an accidental release of any deleterious substances during construction, operation/maintenance and decommissioning.  | Chapter 23            |
| 180.                            | Describe the procedures for the development and the anticipated components of an environmental protection/emergency response plan, including spill prevention, and spill response contingency planning.  | Section 2.9           |
| 181.                            | Evaluate the potential effects on any marine works that could have an impact on avian movement and migration routes. The environmental effects of construction, operation and maintenance activities, as well as accidental events, and cumulative environmental effects, on birds in coastal and marine waters are to be assessed, including the potential effects of (but not limited to) disturbance, loss of habitat, lighting, oiling and other accidental events, and effects on the food chain.   | Chapters 11 and 13    |
| 182.                            | Several Species at risk/Species of conservation concern are found within the Bay of Fundy and will need to be assessed. Of particular concern is the population of North Atlantic Right Whales to which the Bay of Fundy is a seasonal home. The North Atlantic Right whales are listed as Endangered in the federal Species at Risk Act. Environmental groups with expertise related to the movement of the North Atlantic Right whales should be consulted in order to benefit from their expertise related to this endangered species. In addition any environmental effects to the Harlequin Duck will also need to be assessed. The Harlequin Duck is listed as a Species of special concern in the federal Species at Risk Act. The interaction of the project with Species at risk/Species of conservation concern is of overall concern and will be an important subject for consideration in the EIA. | Chapter 13            |
| 183.                            | Appropriately scaled maps showing coastal and marine habitats in the project area should be presented on figures (both in baseline figures and in relation to proposed project infrastructure), as well as locations of Species at risk and Species of concentration concern, areas of concentration of birds or other wildlife, designated areas, and protected areas.  | Chapter 13            |
| 184.                            | Field surveys and investigations required to supplement available data are to be designed and conducted in a manner acceptable to the appropriate provincial and federal authorities.  | Chapters 3, 6, and 13 |
| 185.                            | Environmental effects due to increased risk for introduction of invasive species must also be considered.  | Section 23.10         |
| <b>4.8 Commercial Fisheries</b> |  |                       |
| 186.                            | There are currently fishing licenses issued for Saint John County for several species, including scallop, groundfish, rockweed, sea urchins, shad, gaspereau, eel, dogfish, herring, lobster, mackerel and sturgeon. These commercial fisheries will need to be assessed as part of the EIA to determine if the project will result in environmental and/or socio-economic effects that alters commercial fishing activities or fisheries resources in quality or extent that result in impacts to fishers. Consultation will need to be initiated with any interested commercial fishers (as individuals or as a group(s)) early in the EIA process.  | Chapter 14            |

| Ref No.   | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA            |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| 187.  | Commercial fisheries include commercial fishing agreements held by New Brunswick Aboriginal Communities and organizations and will need to be assessed.  | Chapters 14 and 18           |
| 188.  | Additional industrial development in the area may lead to increased activity by services vessels and tankers and that this will potentially exacerbate, for example, issues of commercial fishing gear loss as well as fishery displacement.   | Chapters 14 and 21           |
| 189.  | Long-term, project related declines in fishery resources will also need to be considered as part of this assessment.   | Chapters 13 and 14           |
| 190.  | The increase and frequency of traffic in the fishing grounds (and areas traveled by fishing vessels) as well as any potential dredging during construction (and potential maintenance dredging) will also need to be evaluated to determine if it could have an impact on fishing activities in the area.  | Chapters 13, 14, and 21      |
| <b>4.9 Effects on Labour and Economy (and other Socio-economic Effects)</b> |  |                              |
| 191.  | Predict the environmental effects of the project on labour and economy within the greater Saint John area and the Province of New Brunswick. Assess the direct and indirect creation of employment in the area associated with the construction and operation of this facility. Provide details regarding the availability and qualifications of the workforce required to construct and operate this facility.  | Chapter 15                   |
| 192.  | Provide a description of the source, quantity, mechanism, rate, form and characteristics of contaminants and other sources likely to be released to the environment causing a worker exposure during normal operation, a postulated malfunction and accident event.  | Chapters 3, 9 and 23         |
| 193.  | The effect on existing tourism; and recreational activities must be included.  | Chapter 15<br>Chapter 17     |
| 194.  | The effect on industries, including the recreational and commercial fishing industry must be included.   | Chapters 14 and 15           |
| 195.  | Discuss any aesthetic/potential visual impacts of the proposed project and how these could impact the local or regional economy.   | Section 3.5.5 and Chapter 17 |
| 196.  | The effect of the proposed project on physical and cultural heritage, and any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance must also be included.   | Chapter 19                   |
| <b>4.10 Community Services and Infrastructure</b>                           |  |                              |
| 197.  | An assessment of the community services and infrastructure will be required in order to evaluate the potential effects from the large number of workers associated with the construction and operation of this facility both on a temporary and permanent basis. These could include but not limited to, public health services in relation to potential demand as a result of the refinery, adequacy of existing acute care services, potential need for an increase in community health support services, low income individuals and families especially relating to displacement due to potential increases in housing costs, existing municipal infrastructure and capacity and services in terms of commercial/residential spin-off. Community services and infrastructure also includes: local emergency response, ongoing support services (health and social services), and accommodation, food services, and entertainment. | Chapter 16                   |
| 198.  | Any additional demand on local emergency response services and ongoing support services will need to be assessed. These services may be affected by the occurrence of an accidental event, or by the routine presence of workers associated with either construction or operation. There may also be effects to local accommodations as a result of temporary and permanent workers required for this project.   | Chapter 16 and 23            |
| 199.  | Any increased demands on the above community services and infrastructure, as large numbers of temporary workers in an area could create unique concerns during the construction phase will need to be assessed. Such a situation may result in increased need for policing in certain areas, increased consumption of alcohol and other legal and illegal substances and increased need for social services.   | Chapter 16                   |

| Ref No.   | Final Guideline  | Section of EIA/EA      |
|---|--|------------------------|
| 200.  | Assess the environmental effects of possible population movement (either for labour reasons or environmental reasons) related to this project with respect to local community services and infrastructure, workforce, labour and economy and housing.  | Chapters 15 and 16     |
| <b>4.11 Effects on Land Use</b>   |  |                        |
| 201.  | Assess the effects of the project and associated infrastructure on the current use of lands and resources by the public and private sectors.   | Chapter 17             |
| 202.  | There are residences located within the assessment area along the Red Head Road (and potentially the Proud Road or Old Black River Road), Mispec, Anthony's Cove, etc. that could be affected and will need to be assessed. In addition, residential areas and land use that could be impacted by the linear facilities being considered as part of this project and would also need to be evaluated.  | Chapter 17             |
| 203.  | Evaluate the environmental effects (including changes in property values and enjoyment of property) of the project on Land Use in the vicinity of the project (i.e.: within the defined environmental assessment boundaries of the project) as well as in the vicinity of any related infrastructure and corridors.  | Chapter 17             |
| 204.  | Assess any potential effects of the project on Mispec Beach and other recreational areas that could be affected by the project and associated infrastructure and corridors.  | Chapters 17 and 22     |
| <b>4.12 Effects on the Current Use of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes by Aboriginal Persons</b> |  |                        |
| 249.  | Assess the effects of all aspects of the project (including any associated infrastructure) on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Aboriginal persons. This includes traditional hunting, fishing (including fishing in the marine environment), gathering, or ceremonial activities, which could be adversely affected by the presence of the project on these lands. A traditional ecological knowledge study of the areas potentially affected by the Project should be conducted to support the assessment, if and as required by the leadership of the Aboriginal Community.            | Chapter 18             |
| <b>4.13 Effects on Heritage and Archaeological Resources</b>  |  |                        |
| 250.  | An assessment of heritage and archaeological resources will be required for the refinery site as well as for corridor associated with required infrastructure.   | Chapter 19             |
| 251.  | An area of high potential was identified in the Canaport LNG EIA. This area is located near the shore west of Mispec River and east of the LNG facility. If any project facilities are to be sited at this location (or near this location), additional work may be required to interpret and/or excavate the site.  | Chapter 19             |
| <b>4.14 Effects on Land-Based Transportation/Road Infrastructure</b>  |  |                        |
| 252.  | Assess the environmental effects of the proposed project on traffic patterns/flows, including a prediction with respect to current/future road infrastructure and use with reference to safety and the integrity of infrastructure on traffic flows, level of service, and accident rates. Predict the effects of increased ground transportation in the region and specifically traffic to and from the proposed refinery site with reference to noise, safety, risks of spills and air quality. The study should consider localized effects that may occur from fossil fuel combustion as a result of increased traffic. | Chapters 7, 20, and 23 |
| 253.  | During the construction phase of the project there would be substantial land-based activity that will require the movement of equipment, material and personnel to and from the project site. This traffic will result in an increase in traffic volumes, which may adversely affect congestion and collision rates. There may also be concern regarding the condition, allowable weights and dimensions of the existing road infrastructure. These potential effects will need to be evaluated.   | Chapters 20 and 23     |
| 254.  | Any effects associated with project related traffic during the operation phase will also need to be assessed, as project related traffic will continue in the form of personnel transportation and truck traffic carrying supplies, materials and products.  | Chapter 20             |

| Ref No. | Final Guideline   | Section of EIA/EA |
|---------|---|-------------------|
| 255.    | It is anticipated that some refined products may be shipped via rail. A new rail spur may be needed to allow for this. If a rail spur is to be constructed, an assessment of the routing will need to be undertaken. An additional concern is the potential environmental effects on road traffic, either resulting in greater congestion or higher collision rates, associated with any at grade crossings. In addition, an assessment of the emergency routes that may be affected as a result of the rail spur will need to be undertaken. An assessment of emergency routes with respect to residents of the Mispec and Red Head Road area will also be required.   | Chapter 20        |
|         | <b>4.15 Effects of the Environment on the Project</b>   |                   |
| 256.    | Sensitivity of the proposed project to variations in meteorological conditions, including extreme events, must be investigated. Among the parameters to be considered are the effect of extreme precipitation events on site water management and the influence of wind, waves, ice, and flooding on facility operations (including any associated infrastructure). In addition, the sensitivity of the proposed project to climate variability and climate change must be identified and discussed. Not only will the assessment look at the current climatic setting in the area, but must also include a consideration of the potential future climatic conditions due to climate changes in the foreseeable and long-term future (e.g.: global warming, changes in sea levels, etc., over a minimum 50 and 100 year period). This should include detailed discussion related to the potential effects of sea level rise on the marine facilities proposed for this project. | Chapters 6 and 22 |
| 257.    | The assessment must take into account how the existing environment/natural and man-made hazards could adversely affect the project (e.g.: acid rock drainage, severe meteorological conditions, seismic events, tidal influences, etc.).  | Chapter 22        |
|         | <b>4.16 Navigation/Shipping</b>   |                   |
| 258.    | Vessel navigation is considered a main component of the project as a result of receiving and shipping products to and from the refinery. A voluntary commitment by Irving Oil Company, Limited has been made to undertake a TERMPOL Review of the project. The intent of the TERMPOL Review is to provide a means of "measuring the navigational risks associated with the location and operation of marine terminals for large oil tankers".   | Chapter 21        |
| 259.    | An explanation of the management of vessel traffic in the Bay of Fundy will need to be provided, along with a prediction of the effect of increased ship traffic on existing ship traffic in the Bay of Fundy and Saint John Harbour.   | Chapter 21        |
| 260.    | The EIA should reflect a consideration of effects of shipping (including potential accidental events and potential cumulative environmental effects) on VECs, such as migratory birds, Species at risk, and Species of conservation concern, along the shipping route.  | Chapter 21        |
| 261.    | An assessment of the increase in vessel traffic within the Bay of Fundy will need to be undertaken and should take into consideration the potential concentration of shipping as a result of this project and other current and/or future projects in the area and should consider potential effects to commercial fishers, cruise ships, Species at risk and Species of conservation concern, systems and resources currently in place to address navigation, etc. This assessment should consider the concentration of Dangerous Goods being moved in or near this geographical area. In addition, an assessment of the effects of spills, accidents, malfunctions will need to be undertaken with respect to the increase in vessel traffic in the area.   | Chapter 21        |
| 262.    | Consideration will also need to be given to the existing spoil dumping ground (Black Point) that lies near the proposed facilities. This site is crucial to the effective and efficient dredging program for the Saint John Port. As a result of many years of dumping at this site it is now considered a hazard to navigation for deep draft vessels operating near this area. In addition to its location, during dredging season there are many daily trips by dredge scows to the site adding to the concentration of small vessels navigating in this area.   | Chapter 21        |